



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,481	04/23/2001	Armando J. Vigil	"PRO SE"	5735

7590

07/01/2004

Christopher F. Regan
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A.
1401 Citrus Center 255 South Orange Avenue
P.O. Box 3791
Orlando,, FL 32802-3791

EXAMINER

TRAN, TRANG U

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/840,481

Applicant(s)

VIGIL ET AL.

Examiner

Trang U. Tran

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 May 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 25-47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 25-47 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 25-42 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grabb et al. (US Patent No. 6,437,832 B1) in view of Twitchell et al (US Patent No. 6,335,766 B1).

In considering claim 25, Grabb et al et al discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed receiving a transmitted DTV signal is met by the antenna 106 (Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 55-57), 2) the claimed detecting correlation peaks in the received DTV signal based upon the multiplexed reference data is met by the cross-correlator 108 which detects a cross-correlation spike from the cross-correlator every 4095 clock times for the m-sequence generator (Fig. 1,

Art Unit: 2614

col. 4, line 55 to col. 5, line 65), and 3) the claimed using the detected correlation peaks to mitigate multipath in the received DTV signal is met by the phase adjuster 110 which adjusts the phase of the locally generated overlay signal by retarding or advancing the clocking of the locally generated overlay signal maximize the largest peak of the signal from cross-correlator 108 (Fig. 1, col. 4, line 55 to col. 5, line 65).

However, Grabb et al explicitly do not disclose the claimed multiplexing reference data with DTV data to generate a multiplexed DTV data stream and modulating the multiplexed DTV data stream for transmission.

Twitchell et al teach that in the process, the video data stream, audio stream and ancillary data stream packets may be multiplexed into a single data stream, as is well known in the art, the packeted data may be transported by the MPEG-2 transport system for the packetizing and multiplexing of video, audio and data signals for digital broadcast system... in the RF/transmission section 106 the packeted data is channel coded and modulated...the modulation 122 uses the digital data stream to modulate the transmitted signal (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 38-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the multiplexer and the modulation as taught by Twitchell et al into Grabb et al's system in order to facility for the packetizing and multiplexing of video, audio and data signals for digital broadcast system and converted to the RF signal for transmitted signal.

Art Unit: 2614

In considering claim 26, the claimed wherein the transmitted DTV signal includes a training sequence defined by the reference data is met by the m-sequence overlay signal generator (Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 22-45 and col. 5, line 66 to col. 6, line 54 of Grabb et al).

In considering claim 27, the claimed wherein the reference data is ATSC DTV compliant is met by col. 2, lines 38-57 of Grabb et al.

In considering claim 28, the claimed wherein the reference data is based upon a priori knowledge of the DTV data is met by the m-sequence overlay signal generator (Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 22-45 and col. 5, line 66 to col. 6, line 54 of Grabb et al).

In considering claim 29, the claimed wherein the a priori knowledge includes modulation characteristics of the DTV data is met by the m-sequence overlay signal generator (Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 22-45 and col. 5, line 66 to col. 7, line 12 of Grabb et al).

In considering claim 30, the claimed further comprising estimating the modulation characteristics of the DTV data is met by cross-correlator which generates an estimate of the impulse response of the DTV channel by presenting the multipath components and strengths (Fig. 6, col. 5, line 21 to col. 7, line 12 of Grabb et al).

In considering claim 31, Grabb et al discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed estimating modulation characteristics of DTV data to be transmitted is met by cross-correlator which generates an estimate of the impulse response of the DTV channel by presenting the multipath components

Art Unit: 2614

and strengths (Fig. 6, col. 5, line 21 to col. 7, line 12), and 2) the claimed determining reference data based upon the estimated modulation characteristics of the DTV data is met by the m-sequence overlay signal generator (Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 22-45 and col. 5, line 66 to col. 7, line 12). However, Grabb et al explicitly do not disclose the claimed multiplexing the reference data with the DTV data to generate a multiplexed DTV data stream and modulating the multiplexed DTV data stream for transmission.

Twitchell et al teach that in the process, the video data stream, audio stream and ancillary data stream packets may be multiplexed into a single data stream, as is well known in the art, the packeted data may be transported by the MPEG-2 transport system for the packetizing and multiplexing of video, audio and data signals for digital broadcast system... in the RF/transmission section 106 the packeted data is channel coded and modulated...the modulation 122 uses the digital data stream to modulate the transmitted signal (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 38-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the multiplexer and the modulation as taught by Twitchell et al into Grabb et al's system in order to facility for the packetizing and multiplexing of video, audio and data signals for digital broadcast system and converted to the RF signal for transmitted signal.

Claims 32-34 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 25-27, respectively.

Art Unit: 2614

Claims 35-40 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 25-30, respectively.

In considering claim 41, the claimed wherein said receiving system comprises a digital television is met by the DTV (Fig. 1).

Claim 42 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 25.

Claims 44-47 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 26-29, respectively.

5. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grabb et al. (US Patent No. 6,437,832 B1) in view of Twitchell et al (US Patent No. 6,335,766 B1) and further in view of Frey et al. (US Patent No. 6,304,299 B1).

In considering claim 43, the combination of Grabb et al and Twitchell et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claims 25 and 42 above, except for providing the claimed further comprising a demodulator connected to said correlator for demodulating the receiving DTV signal. Frey et al teach that in Fig. 1, the transmitted signal is received by receive antenna 106 which, in turn, supplies the received signal to a receiver 107, antenna 106 and receiver 107 receive and demodulate the received signal to provide demodulated signal 18 (Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 10-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the demodulator as taught by Frey et al into the combination of Grabb et al and Twitchell et al's system in order to convert the RF signal to the base band signal of the video signal to be transmitted.

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trang U. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-0090. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM, Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (703) 305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


MICHAEL H. LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

TT TT
June 25, 2004